

Erratum

Erratum to “Electrostatic atomisation of a ceramic suspension” [J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 24 (2004) 2203–2213][☆]

S.N. Jayasinghe, M.J. Edirisinghe*

Department of Materials, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

Available online 6 May 2004

The Publisher regrets that errors occurred in the published article indicated above. The corrections are shown below:

1. Table 2

Alumina wt.%	Ethanol vol. %	Ethanol (wt.%)	EFKA 401 (wt.%)	Density (kg m ⁻³)	Viscosity (mPa s)	Surface tension (mN m ⁻¹)	Electrical conductivity (S m ⁻¹)	Relative permittivity
56.5	20.6	43.0	0.5	1413	1420	64	0.43×10^{-4}	54
		Ethanol		790	1.32	23	3.23×10^{-4}	26

2. Eq. (1) should read as follows:

$$\pi_\eta = \frac{\sqrt[3]{\gamma^2 \rho \beta \varepsilon_0 / K}}{\eta}$$

In Eq. (1), γ , ρ , β , ε_0 , η and K are the surface tension, density, relative permittivity, permittivity of free space, viscosity and electrical conductivity, respectively. As indicated, η dominates the value of π_η compared with the other variables (γ , ρ , K and β).

[☆] doi of original article 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2003.07.001.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: m.j.edirisinghe@qmu.ac.uk (M.J. Edirisinghe).